

WO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Dena Pope,) CV 11-02050-PHX-FJM

Plaintiff,) **ORDER**

vs.)

Aegis Wholesale Corp.; U.S. Bank NA,
solely as trustee for the Certificate Holders
of Maiden Lane Trust, Asset-Backed
Securities 1, Series 2007; Nationstar
Mortgage LLC; BlackRock Financial
Management Inc.; Federal Reserve Bank
of New York,

Defendants.

The court has before it defendants U.S. Bank, Nationstar Mortgage, BlackRock Financial Management, and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's ("the Nationstar defendants") motion to dismiss (doc. 5). Plaintiff did not respond to the motion, and the time for filing a response has expired. Defendant Aegis Wholesale ("Aegis") did not join in the motion to dismiss and has not appeared in any proceedings before this court.

Plaintiff's forty-five page complaint is densely-worded and appears to contain few concrete facts. It alleges that plaintiff executed a Deed of Trust in 2007 for her residence in the amount of \$419,000. Aegis was listed as the lender. Her loan was securitized in 2008. A Notice of Trustee's Sale for plaintiff's property was issued June 9, 2011, setting the sale for September 12, 2011. The sale has not been held.

1 This action was originally filed in the Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County
 2 on September 9, 2011 (doc. 1, ex. A). The complaint asserts twelve "counts," which contain
 3 multiple legal theories. For example, count eight is styled

4 [b]reach of Contract - Failure of the original lender to Transfer or to Assign
 5 respectively either the Note or the Deed of Trust to US Bank National
 6 Association as Trustee for the Investors in the Mortgage Backed Security and
 7 the Defendants' lack of authority to vary the terms of Plaintiff's loan by
 8 agreement as a result of the securitization of the loan and the involvement of
 9 MERS in this series of transactions

10 Compl. at 34. In essence, plaintiff complains that U.S. Bank has not proved that it possessed
 11 the note on plaintiff's property at the time that the trustee's sale was noticed. Plaintiff
 12 concludes that U.S. Bank cannot enforce the note and cannot proceed with a trustee's sale on
 13 her property. The Nationstar defendants timely removed to this court and filed the instant
 14 motion.

15 The Nationstar defendants argue that plaintiff's complaint violates Rule 8(a)(2), Fed.
 16 R. Civ. P., because it fails to contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that
 17 the pleader is entitled to relief." Defendants argue that plaintiff's forty-five page complaint
 18 "consists almost entirely of confusing legal conclusions and convoluted theories about the
 19 manner in which foreclosure sales should be conducted, each of which is contrary to the
 20 settled law of this state." Mot. to Dismiss at 4. Because plaintiff failed to respond to the
 21 motion to dismiss, we grant it summarily. See LRCiv 7.2(i) ("if. . . counsel does not serve
 22 and file the required answering memoranda. . . such non-compliance may be deemed a
 23 consent to the denial or granting of the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion
 24 summarily"). We agree with defendants that the lengthy and confusing complaint violates
 25 Rule 8(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.

26 Defendants argue that based on their interpretation of plaintiff's claims, the complaint
 27 should be dismissed with prejudice as amendment would be futile. Given the prolixity of the
 28 complaint and plaintiff's silence, it is difficult to tell whether amendment could cure any of
 plaintiff's claims. However, given plaintiff's failure to respond, we grant the Nationstar
 defendants' motion to dismiss her complaint with prejudice.


1 Aegis has not yet appeared. It is unclear whether Aegis has been served.
2 Nevertheless, our conclusion that the complaint violates Rule 8(a) renders the legal result as
3 to this defendant the same. See Abagninin v. AMVAC Chem. Corp., 545 F.3d 733, 742-43
4 (9th Cir. 2008). However, we dismiss the complaint against Aegis without prejudice.

5 **IT IS ORDERED GRANTING** defendants U.S. Bank NA, Nationstar Mortgage
6 LLC, BlackRock Financial Management Inc., and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's
7 motion to dismiss (doc. 5). The complaint is dismissed against these defendants with
8 prejudice.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED DISMISSING** the complaint against defendant Aegis
10 Wholesale Corp. without prejudice.

11 The Clerk shall enter judgment.

12 DATED this 17th day of November, 2011.

13
14 
15

 Frederick J. Martone
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28